

REMARKS

Claims 1-12 are pending when last examined, Claims 1-11 had been allowed and Claim 12 was rejected. Claim 12 has been amended. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of Claim 12 as amended.

**Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph**

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Specifically, the Office Action state that “the claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.” Claim 12 has been amended to state that the reversing of the common voltage takes place “between an end of the application of the data voltage for a first row and a start of the application of a data voltage for a next row.” These amendments are supported by at least page 2, line 30 through page 3, line 3, and page 3, line 29 through page 4, line 2. Applicant respectfully submits that claim 12 fully satisfies 35 USC §112, first paragraph, and requests that the rejection be withdrawn and claim 12 be allowed.

**Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 112 second paragraph**

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 USC § 112 second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the Office Action states that it is unclear whether the “reversing of the state of inversion signal occurs after supplying a row of odd pixels or all odd pixels” and whether “the reversing of the state of the common voltage occurs after supplying a row of odd and even pixels or all odd and even pixels.”


Claim 12 has been amended to state that the common voltage is reversed “between an end of the application of the data voltage for a first row and a start of the application of a data voltage for a next row.” Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection under 35 USC §112 second paragraph be withdrawn and claim 12 be allowed.

**Conclusion**


Applicants believe that Claims 1-12 are in condition for allowance. If the Examiner wishes to discuss any aspect of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone Applicants' undersigned attorney at (408) 392-9250.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 5, 2007

By:   
Hugh H. Matsubayashi  
Reg. No. 51,115  
Attorney for Applicants

MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP  
2033 Gateway Place, Suite 400  
San Jose, CA 95110  
Telephone: (408) 392-9250  
Fax: (408) 392-9262

FILED VIA EFS - CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) via the USPTO's EFS-Web electronic filing system on December 5, 2007.	
<u></u> Hugh H. Matsubayashi Attorney for Applicant(s)	<u>12/5/2007</u> Date of Signature